### ECON 351\* -- Introduction to NOTE 21

# **Introduction to Using Dummy Variable Regressors in Regression Models**

• Consider the regression model for the average weekly earnings of individual workers given by the following **population regression equation (PRE)**:

$$earn_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}ed_{i} + \beta_{2}fem_{i} + \beta_{3}fem_{i}ed_{i} + u_{i}$$
(1)

where u<sub>i</sub> is an iid (independently and identically distributed) random error term, and the observable variables are defined as follows:

 $earn_i$  = person i's average weekly earnings during a calendar year;

 $ed_i$  = person i's years of completed formal education;

 $fem_i$  = a female *indicator* variable, or *dummy* variable, defined such that  $fem_i = 1$  if person i is female, = 0 if person i is male.

- The earnings regression equation (1) contains two explanatory variables: the continuous variable  $ed_i$  and the indicator (dummy) variable regressor  $fem_i$ .
- The dummy variable regressor  $fem_i$  enters earnings regression equation (1) in two distinct ways:
  - 1. it enters *additively* on its own as *fem*<sub>i</sub>;
  - 2. it enters *multiplicatively* interacted with *ed<sub>i</sub>* in the regressor *fem<sub>i</sub>ed<sub>i</sub>*.

## 1. Interpreting the Simple Earnings Regression with a Female Dummy Variable Regressor

 $earn_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}ed_{i} + \beta_{2}fem_{i} + \beta_{3}fem_{i}ed_{i} + u_{i}$ (1)

• *Question 1:* What is the slope coefficient  $\beta_2$  of the female indicator variable *fem<sub>i</sub>*?

<u>Answer</u>: The slope coefficient  $\beta_2$  of the dummy variable regressor *fem<sub>i</sub>* is the **female-male** *difference* in **intercept coefficients**, i.e.,

 $\beta_2$  = the *female* intercept coefficient – the *male* intercept coefficient

• *Question 2:* What is the slope coefficient β<sub>3</sub> of the female indicator interaction term *fem<sub>i</sub>ed<sub>i</sub>*?

<u>Answer</u>: The slope coefficient  $\beta_3$  of the dummy variable interaction regressor *fem<sub>i</sub>ed<sub>i</sub>* is the **female-male** *difference* in the slope coefficients of the regressor *ed<sub>i</sub>*.

 $\beta_3$  = the *female* slope coefficient of  $ed_i$  – the *male* slope coefficient of  $ed_i$ 

• *Question 3:* What is the equation intercept coefficient  $\beta_0$  in equation (1)?

<u>Answer</u>: The equation intercept coefficient  $\beta_0$  is the intercept of the earnings equation for males, for whom the female dummy variable *fem<sub>i</sub>* equals 0, i.e., for whom *fem<sub>i</sub>* = 0.

• *Question 4:* What is the slope coefficient  $\beta_1$  of the regressor  $ed_i$  in equation (1)?

<u>Answer</u>: The slope coefficient  $\beta_1$  of the regressor *ed<sub>i</sub>* in equation (1) is the slope coefficient of *ed<sub>i</sub>* for *males* for whom *fem<sub>i</sub>* = 0 by definition.

(1)

# 2. Demonstrating the Interpretation of the Regression Coefficients in Equation (1)

 $earn_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}ed_{i} + \beta_{2}fem_{i} + \beta_{3}fem_{i}ed_{i} + u_{i}$ 

• The **population regression function (PRF)** corresponding to regression equation (1) gives the **conditional mean weekly earnings of female and male workers** with different levels of formal education (i.e., different values of the continuous explanatory variable *ed<sub>i</sub>*).

$$E(earn_i | ed_i, fem_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ed_i + \beta_2 fem_i + \beta_3 fem_i ed_i$$
(2)

The population regression function (2) contains two separate regression functions: (1) a female regression function; and (2) a male regression function.

• The *female* population regression function is obtained by setting the female indicator variable *fem<sub>i</sub>* equal to 1 everywhere it appears in regression function (2). It gives the conditional mean earnings of female workers as a function of *ed<sub>i</sub>*, years of formal education.

Setting  $fem_i = 1$  in regression function (2) gives:

$$E(\operatorname{earn}_{i} | \operatorname{ed}_{i}, \operatorname{fem}_{i} = 1) = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\operatorname{ed}_{i} + \beta_{2} + \beta_{3}\operatorname{ed}_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{2} + (\beta_{1} + \beta_{3})\operatorname{ed}_{i}$$
(2f)

• The *male* **population regression function** is obtained by setting the **female indicator variable** *fem<sub>i</sub>* equal to 0 everywhere it appears in regression function (2). It gives the conditional mean earnings of male workers as a function of *ed<sub>i</sub>*, years of formal education.

Setting  $fem_i = 0$  in regression function (2) gives:

$$E(earn_i \mid ed_i, fem_i = 0) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ed_i$$
(2m)

- The *female-male* difference in mean weekly earnings is obtained by subtracting the male regression function (2m) from the female regression function (2f).
- The *female* mean earnings function is the female regression function (2f):

 $E(\operatorname{earn}_{i} | \operatorname{ed}_{i}, \operatorname{fem}_{i} = 1) = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\operatorname{ed}_{i} + \beta_{2} + \beta_{3}\operatorname{ed}_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{2} + (\beta_{1} + \beta_{3})\operatorname{ed}_{i}$ (2f)

- Note:  $\beta_0 + \beta_2$  is the *intercept* of the mean earnings function for *females*;  $\beta_1 + \beta_3$  is the slope coefficient of the regressor *ed<sub>i</sub>* for *females*.
- The *male* mean earnings function is the male regression function (2m):

$$E(earn_i \mid ed_i, fem_i = 0) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ed_i$$
(2m)

- Note:  $\beta_0$  is the *intercept* of the mean earnings function for *males*;  $\beta_1$  is the **slope coefficient** of the regressor *ed<sub>i</sub>* for *males*.
- Subtracting the male regression function (2m) from the female regression function (2f) gives the expression for the *female-male difference* in mean weekly earnings:

$$E(earn_i | ed_i, fem_i = 1) - E(earn_i | ed_i, fem_i = 0) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ed_i + \beta_2 + \beta_3 ed_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 ed_i = \beta_2 + \beta_3 ed_i$$

i.e.,

$$E(earn_i | ed_i, fem_i = 1) - E(earn_i | ed_i, fem_i = 0) = \beta_2 + \beta_3 ed_i$$
(3)

<u>*Result:*</u> Regression equation (2) implies that the *female-male difference* in mean weekly earnings is the linear function (3) of the explanatory variable  $ed_i$ :

#### **Interpretation of the Regression Coefficients in Regression Equation (1)**

$$earn_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}ed_{i} + \beta_{2}fem_{i} + \beta_{3}fem_{i}ed_{i} + u_{i}$$
(1)

• The **population regression function (PRF)** corresponding to regression equation (1) is:

$$E(\operatorname{earn}_{i} | \operatorname{ed}_{i}, \operatorname{fem}_{i}) = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\operatorname{ed}_{i} + \beta_{2}\operatorname{fem}_{i} + \beta_{3}\operatorname{fem}_{i}\operatorname{ed}_{i}$$

$$\tag{2}$$

• The *female* mean earnings function is the female regression function (2f):

$$E(\operatorname{earn}_{i} | \operatorname{ed}_{i}, \operatorname{fem}_{i} = 1) = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\operatorname{ed}_{i} + \beta_{2} + \beta_{3}\operatorname{ed}_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{2} + (\beta_{1} + \beta_{3})\operatorname{ed}_{i}$$
(2f)

• The *male* mean earnings function is the male regression function (2m):

$$E(earn_i \mid ed_i, fem_i = 0) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ed_i$$
(2m)

The *female* intercept coefficient  $= \beta_0 + \beta_2$ The *male* intercept coefficient  $= \beta_0$ Therefore  $\beta_2 =$  the *female* intercept coefficient ( $\beta_0 + \beta_2$ ) minus the *male* intercept coefficient ( $\beta_0$ )

The *female* slope coefficient of  $ed_i = \beta_1 + \beta_3$ 

The *male* slope coefficient of  $ed_i = \beta_1$ 

Therefore  $\beta_3$  = the *female* slope coefficient of  $ed_i(\beta_1 + \beta_3)$  minus the *male* slope coefficient of  $ed_i(\beta_1)$ 

## 4. A More General Earnings Regression Model with a Female Dummy Variable Regressor

Consider now an expanded earnings regression model for female and male workers that allows for non-constant marginal earnings effects of  $ed_i$ . The population regression equation for this model is:

$$earn_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}ed_{i} + \beta_{2}ed_{i}^{2} + \beta_{3}fem_{i} + \beta_{4}fem_{i}ed_{i} + \beta_{5}fem_{i}ed_{i}^{2} + u_{i}$$
(3)

Note that regression equation (3) allows for the possibly that the **marginal earnings effect of** ed may be *increasing* or *decreasing* in  $ed_i$ .

• The **population regression function (PRF)** corresponding to regression equation (3) gives the **conditional mean weekly earnings of female and male workers** with different levels of formal education (i.e., different values of the continuous explanatory variable *ed<sub>i</sub>*).

$$E(earn_i | ed_i, fem_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ed_i + \beta_2 ed_i^2 + \beta_3 fem_i + \beta_4 fem_i ed_i + \beta_5 fem_i ed_i^2$$
(3p)

The population regression function (3p) contains two separate regression functions: (1) a female regression function; and (2) a male regression function.

 $E(earn_i | ed_i, fem_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ed_i + \beta_2 ed_i^2 + \beta_3 fem_i + \beta_4 fem_i ed_i + \beta_5 fem_i ed_i^2$ (3p)

• The *female* population regression function is obtained by setting the female indicator variable *fem<sub>i</sub>* equal to 1 everywhere it appears in regression function (3p). It gives the conditional mean earnings of female workers as a function of *ed<sub>i</sub>*, years of formal education.

Setting  $fem_i = 1$  in regression function (3p) gives the *female* mean earnings function:

 $E(earn_{i} | ed_{i}, fem_{i} = 1) = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}ed_{i} + \beta_{2}ed_{i}^{2} + \beta_{3} + \beta_{4}ed_{i} + \beta_{5}ed_{i}^{2} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{3} + (\beta_{1} + \beta_{4})ed_{i} + (\beta_{2} + \beta_{5})ed_{i}^{2}$ (3f)

• The *male* population regression function is obtained by setting the female indicator variable *fem<sub>i</sub>* equal to 0 everywhere it appears in regression function (3p). It gives the conditional mean earnings of male workers as a function of *ed<sub>i</sub>*, years of formal education.

Setting  $fem_i = 0$  in regression function (3p) gives the *male* mean earnings function:

 $E(earn_i | ed_i, fem_i = 0) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ed_i + \beta_2 ed_i^2$ (3m)

• The *female-male* difference in mean weekly earnings is obtained by subtracting the male regression function (3m) from the female regression function (3f):

$$E(earn_i | ed_i, fem_i = 1) - E(earn_i | ed_i, fem_i = 0)$$
  
=  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 ed_i + \beta_2 ed_i^2 + \beta_3 + \beta_4 ed_i + \beta_5 ed_i^2 - \beta_0 - \beta_1 ed_i - \beta_2 ed_i^2$   
=  $\beta_3 + \beta_4 ed_i + \beta_5 ed_i^2$  (3d)

<u>*Result:*</u> Regression equation (3) implies that the *female-male difference* in mean weekly earnings is the quadratic function (3d) of the continuous explanatory variable  $ed_i$ .

#### Summary Interpretation of the Regression Coefficients in Regression Equation (3)

• The **population regression function (PRF)** corresponding to regression equation (3) is:

$$E(earn_i | ed_i, fem_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ed_i + \beta_2 ed_i^2 + \beta_3 fem_i + \beta_4 fem_i ed_i + \beta_5 fem_i ed_i^2$$
(3p)

• The *female* mean earnings function is the female regression function (3f):

 $E(earn_{i} | ed_{i}, fem_{i} = 1) = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}ed_{i} + \beta_{2}ed_{i}^{2} + \beta_{3} + \beta_{4}ed_{i} + \beta_{5}ed_{i}^{2} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{3} + (\beta_{1} + \beta_{4})ed_{i} + (\beta_{2} + \beta_{5})ed_{i}^{2}$ (3f)

• The *male* mean earnings function is the male regression function (3m):

$$E(earn_i | ed_i, fem_i = 0) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ed_i + \beta_2 ed_i^2$$
(3m)

The *female* intercept coefficient  $= \beta_0 + \beta_3$ The *male* intercept coefficient  $= \beta_0$ Therefore  $\beta_3 =$  the *female* intercept coefficient  $(\beta_0 + \beta_3)$  minus the *male* intercept coefficient  $(\beta_0)$ The *female* slope coefficient of  $ed_i = \beta_1 + \beta_4$ The *male* slope coefficient of  $ed_i = \beta_1$ Therefore  $\beta_4 =$  the *female* slope coefficient of  $ed_i (\beta_1 + \beta_4)$  minus the *male* slope coefficient of  $ed_i (\beta_1)$ The *female* slope coefficient of  $ed_i^2 = \beta_2 + \beta_5$ The *male* slope coefficient of  $ed_i^2 = \beta_2$ Therefore  $\beta_5 =$  the *female* slope coefficient of  $ed_i^2 (\beta_2 + \beta_5)$  minus the *male* slope coefficient of  $ed_i^2 (\beta_2)$ 

### **The Marginal Earnings Effect of ed in Regression Equation (3)**

• The marginal earnings effect of *ed<sub>i</sub>* is obtained by partially differentiating the population regression function (**PRF**) for regression equation (3) with respect to *ed<sub>i</sub>*:

$$E(\operatorname{earn}_{i} | \operatorname{ed}_{i}, \operatorname{fem}_{i}) = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\operatorname{ed}_{i} + \beta_{2}\operatorname{ed}_{i}^{2} + \beta_{3}\operatorname{fem}_{i} + \beta_{4}\operatorname{fem}_{i}\operatorname{ed}_{i} + \beta_{5}\operatorname{fem}_{i}\operatorname{ed}_{i}^{2}$$
(3p)

$$\frac{\partial E(\operatorname{earn}_{i} | \operatorname{ed}_{i}, \operatorname{fem}_{i})}{\partial \operatorname{ed}_{i}} = \beta_{1} + 2\beta_{2}\operatorname{ed}_{i} + \beta_{4}\operatorname{fem}_{i} + 2\beta_{5}\operatorname{fem}_{i}\operatorname{ed}_{i}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

The marginal earnings effect of *ed<sub>i</sub>* for *females* is obtained by setting the female indicator variable *fem<sub>i</sub>* = 1 in the above expression (4) for the marginal earnings effect of *ed<sub>i</sub>*:

$$\frac{\partial \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{earn}_{i} | \mathrm{ed}_{i}, \mathrm{fem}_{i} = 1)}{\partial \mathrm{ed}_{i}} = \beta_{1} + 2\beta_{2}\mathrm{ed}_{i} + \beta_{4} + 2\beta_{5}\mathrm{ed}_{i} = (\beta_{1} + \beta_{4}) + 2(\beta_{2} + \beta_{5})\mathrm{ed}_{i}$$
(4f)

The marginal earnings effect of ed<sub>i</sub> for males is obtained by setting the female indicator variable fem<sub>i</sub> = 0 in expression (4) for the marginal earnings effect of ed<sub>i</sub>:

$$\frac{\partial E(earn_i | ed_i, fem_i = 0)}{\partial ed_i} = \beta_1 + 2\beta_2 ed_i$$
(4m)

• The *female-male difference* in the marginal earnings effect of *ed<sub>i</sub>* is obtained by subtracting (4m) from (4f):

$$\frac{\partial E(earn_i | ed_i, fem_i = 1)}{\partial ed_i} - \frac{\partial E(earn_i | ed_i, fem_i = 0)}{\partial ed_i} = \beta_4 + 2\beta_5 ed_i$$
(4d)