

ECON 351* -- NOTE 6

**The Fundamentals of Statistical Inference in the
Simple Linear Regression Model**

1. Introduction to Statistical Inference

1.1 Starting Point

We have derived **point estimators** of all the unknown population parameters in the Classical Linear Regression Model (CLRM) for which the **population regression equation, or PRE**, is

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + u_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, N) \quad (1)$$

♦ The **unknown parameters** of the PRE are

(1) the regression coefficients β_0 and β_1

and

(2) the error variance σ^2 .

♦ The **point estimators** of these unknown population parameters are

(1) the **unbiased OLS regression coefficient estimators** $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$

and

(2) the **unbiased error variance estimator** $\hat{\sigma}^2$ given by the formula

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_i \hat{u}_i^2}{(N-2)} = \frac{\text{RSS}}{(N-2)} \quad \text{where } \hat{u}_i = Y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 X_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, N).$$

Note: $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is an **unbiased estimator of the error variance** σ^2 :

$$E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \sigma^2 \quad \text{because} \quad E(\text{RSS}) = E(\sum_i \hat{u}_i^2) = (N-2)\sigma^2.$$

1.2 Nature of Statistical Inference

Statistical inference consists essentially of **using the point estimates** $\hat{\beta}_0$, $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ of the unknown population parameters β_0 , β_1 and σ^2 **to make statements about the true values of β_0 and β_1 within specified margins of statistical error.**

1.3 Two Related Approaches to Statistical Inference

1. **Interval estimation (or the confidence-interval approach)** involves using the point estimates $\hat{\beta}_0$, $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ to construct confidence intervals for the regression coefficients that contain the true population parameters β_0 and β_1 *with some specified probability.*
 2. **Hypothesis testing (or the test-of-significance approach)** involves using the point estimates $\hat{\beta}_0$, $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ to test hypotheses or assertions about the true population values of β_0 and β_1 .
- These two approaches to statistical inference are mutually complementary and equivalent in the sense that **they yield identical inferences** about the true values of the population parameters.
 - For both types of statistical inference, we need to know the **form of the sampling distributions (or probability distributions)** of the OLS coefficient estimators $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ and the unbiased (degrees-of-freedom-adjusted) error variance estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2$.

This is the purpose of the **error normality assumption A9.**

1.4 The Objective: Feasible Test Statistics for $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$

For both forms of statistical inference -- interval estimation and hypothesis testing -- it is necessary to obtain *feasible* test statistics for each of the OLS coefficient estimators $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ in the OLS sample regression equation (OLS-SRE)

$$Y_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_i + \hat{u}_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, N) \quad (2)$$

1. The OLS slope coefficient estimator $\hat{\beta}_1$ can be written in deviation-from-means form as:

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_i x_i y_i}{\sum_i x_i^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2} \quad (2.1)$$

where $x_i \equiv X_i - \bar{X}$, $y_i \equiv Y_i - \bar{Y}$, $\bar{X} = \sum_i X_i / N$, and $\bar{Y} = \sum_i Y_i / N$.

2. The OLS intercept coefficient estimator $\hat{\beta}_0$ can be written as:

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X} \quad (2.2)$$

What's a Feasible Test Statistic?

A *feasible test statistic* is a sample statistic that satisfies **two** properties:

1. It has a **known probability distribution** for the true population value of some parameter(s).
2. It is a **function only of sample data** -- i.e., its value can be computed for some hypothesized value of the unknown parameter(s) using only sample data.

The **formula** for a feasible test statistic **must contain no unknown parameters** other than the parameter(s) of interest, i.e., the parameters being tested.

What's Ahead in Note 6?

- (1) a **statement of the *normality assumption*** and its implications for the sampling distributions of the estimators $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$;
- (2) a demonstration of how these implications can be used to **derive *feasible test statistics* for $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$** ; and
- (3) **definition of three probability distributions** related to the normal that are used extensively in statistical inference.

2. The Normality Assumption A9

2.1 Statement of Normality Assumption

The normality assumption states that the random error terms u_i in the population regression equation (PRE)

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + u_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, N) \quad (3)$$

are *independently and identically distributed as the normal distribution* with

- (1) *zero means:* $E(u_i | X_i) = 0 \quad \forall i;$
- (2) *constant variances:* $\text{Var}(u_i | X_i) = E(u_i^2 | X_i) = \sigma^2 > 0 \quad \forall i; \quad \dots \text{(A9)}$
- (3) *zero covariances:* $\text{Cov}(u_i, u_s | X_i, X_s) = E(u_i u_s | X_i, X_s) = 0 \quad \forall i \neq s.$

Compact Forms of the Normality Assumption A9

$$(1) \quad u_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N \quad \dots \text{(A9.1)}$$

where $N(0, \sigma^2)$ denotes a normal distribution with mean or expectation equal to 0 and variance equal to σ^2 and the symbol “ \sim ” means “is distributed as”.

NOTE: The normal distribution has only two parameters: its mean or expectation, and its variance. The probability density function, or pdf, of the normal distribution is defined as follows: if a random variable $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then the pdf of X is denoted as $f(X_i)$ and takes the form

$$f(X_i) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(X_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$$

where X_i denotes a *realized or observed value* of X .

(2) u_i are iid as $N(0, \sigma^2)$... (A9.2)

where “iid” means “independently and identically distributed”.

- ◆ Parts (1) and (2) of A9 -- the assumptions of zero means and constant variances -- are the “identically” part of the iid specification.
- ◆ Part (3) of A9 -- the assumption of zero error covariances -- is the “independently” part of the iid specification.

(3) u_i are NID($0, \sigma^2$) ... (A9.3)

where NID($0, \sigma^2$) means “normally and independently distributed with zero mean and constant variance σ^2 ”.

2.2 Implications of Normality Assumption A9 for the Distribution of Y_i

The error normality assumption A9 implies that the **sample values Y_i** of the regressand (or dependent variable) Y **are also *normally distributed***.

- **Linearity Property of Normal Distribution:** Any linear function of a normally distributed random variable is itself normally distributed.
- The **PRE**, or **population regression equation**, states that Y_i is a **linear function of the error terms u_i** :

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + u_i \quad (4)$$

\uparrow _____ \uparrow

- Since any **linear function of a normally distributed random variable is itself normally distributed**, the error normality assumption A9 implies that

$$Y_i \sim N(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i, \sigma^2) \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad (5.1)$$

or

$$Y_i \text{ are NID}(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i, \sigma^2) \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad (5.2)$$

That is, the Y_i are **normally and independently distributed (NID)** with

(1) conditional means

$$E(Y_i | X_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N;$$

(2) conditional variances

$$\text{Var}(Y_i | X_i) = E(u_i^2 | X_i) = \sigma^2 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N;$$

(3) conditional covariances

$$\text{Cov}(Y_i, Y_s | X_i, X_s) = E(u_i u_s | X_i, X_s) = 0 \quad \forall i \neq s.$$

3. The Sampling Distributions of $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$

To obtain the sampling distributions of the OLS coefficient estimators $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$, we make use of

1. the **linearity property** of the OLS coefficient estimators $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$;

and

2. the **normality assumption A9**.

3.1 Linearity Property of the OLS Coefficient Estimators $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$

The linearity property of the OLS coefficient estimators $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ means that the normality of u_i and Y_i carries over to the sampling distributions of $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$. For example, recall that the OLS slope coefficient estimator $\hat{\beta}_1$ can be written as the following linear functions of the Y_i and u_i :

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_1 &= \sum_i k_i Y_i \\ &= \beta_1 + \sum_i k_i u_i\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where the observation weights, the k_i , are defined as

$$k_i = \frac{x_i}{\sum_i x_i^2} \quad (i = 1, \dots, N).$$

- ***Result:*** Equation (6) indicates that the OLS slope coefficient estimator $\hat{\beta}_1$ is a **linear function** of both the *observed* Y_i values and the *unobserved* u_i values.

3.2 Implications of Normality Assumption and Linearity Property

Because any linear function of normally distributed random variables is itself normally distributed, the sampling distributions of $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ are also normally distributed. The reasoning is as follows:

$$\text{normality of } u_i \Rightarrow \text{normality of } Y_i \Rightarrow \text{normality of } \hat{\beta}_0 \text{ and } \hat{\beta}_1.$$

There are **four** specific distributional implications of the error normality assumption A9.

Implication 1: The sampling distribution of the OLS slope coefficient estimator $\hat{\beta}_1$ is *normal* with mean $E(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_1$ and variance $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \sigma^2 / \sum_i x_i^2$: i.e.,

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \sim N(\beta_1, \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)) = N\left(\beta_1, \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i x_i^2}\right).$$

The normality of the sampling distribution of $\hat{\beta}_1$ implies that the **Z-statistic** $Z(\hat{\beta}_1) = (\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1) / \text{se}(\hat{\beta}_1)$ has the *standard normal distribution* with mean 0 and variance 1: i.e.,

$$Z(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sigma / (\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}} \sim N(0, 1).$$

Implication 2: The sampling distribution of the OLS intercept coefficient estimator $\hat{\beta}_0$ is *normal* with mean $E(\hat{\beta}_0) = \beta_0$ and variance $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0) = \sigma^2 \sum_i X_i^2 / N \sum_i x_i^2$: i.e.,

$$\hat{\beta}_0 \sim N\left(\beta_0, \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0)\right) = N\left(\beta_0, \frac{\sigma^2 \sum_i X_i^2}{N \sum_i x_i^2}\right).$$

The normality of the sampling distribution of $\hat{\beta}_0$ implies that the **Z-statistic** $Z(\hat{\beta}_0) = (\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0) / \text{se}(\hat{\beta}_0)$ has the *standard normal distribution* with mean 0 and variance 1: i.e.,

$$Z(\hat{\beta}_0) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0)}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_0)} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{\sigma(\sum_i X_i^2)^{1/2} / N^{1/2} (\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}} \sim N(0, 1).$$

Implication 3: The statistic $(N - 2)\hat{\sigma}^2 / \sigma^2$ has a *chi-square distribution* with $(N - 2)$ degrees of freedom: i.e.,

$$\frac{(N - 2)\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2[N - 2],$$

where $\chi^2[N - 2]$ denotes the *chi-square distribution* with $(N - 2)$ degrees of freedom and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is the degrees-of-freedom-adjusted estimator of the error variance σ^2 given by

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_i \hat{u}_i^2}{(N - 2)} \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{u}_i = Y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 X_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, N).$$

Implication 4: The OLS coefficient estimators $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ are *distributed independently* of the error variance estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2$.

4. Derivation of Test Statistics for $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$

4.1 Definition of a Feasible Test Statistic

A **feasible** test statistic must possess **two** critical properties:

- (1) It must have a **known distribution**;
- (2) It must be capable of being **calculated using only the given sample data** $(Y_i, X_i), i = 1, \dots, N$.

We illustrate the derivation of feasible test statistics for $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ by considering the slope coefficient estimator $\hat{\beta}_1$; an analogous argument can be used to obtain a feasible test statistic for $\hat{\beta}_0$.

4.2 A Standard Normal Z-Statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$

The normality of the sampling distribution of $\hat{\beta}_1$ implies that $\hat{\beta}_1$ can be written in the form of a **standard normal variable**, or **Z-statistic**, with a mean of zero and a variance of one and is denoted as **N(0,1)**.

- **Definition of a Standard Normal Variable**

If some random variable $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then the standardized normal variable defined as $Z = (X - \mu)/\sigma$ has the standard normal distribution **N(0,1)**.

$$X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2) \quad \Rightarrow \quad Z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1).$$

- Write the standard normal variable, or Z-statistic, for the coefficient estimator $\hat{\beta}_1$

- ◆ The error normality assumption A9 implies that

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \sim N(\beta_1, \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)) = N\left(\beta_1, \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i x_i^2}\right).$$

- ◆ The Z-statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$ is therefore defined as

$$Z(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sigma / (\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}}$$

where $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)$ is the *true variance* of $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_1) \equiv [\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)]^{1/2}$ is the *true standard error* of $\hat{\beta}_1$.

- ◆ Since $\hat{\beta}_1$ has the normal distribution, the statistic $Z(\hat{\beta}_1)$ has the standard normal distribution $N(0,1)$: that is,

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \sim N\left(\beta_1, \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i x_i^2}\right) \Rightarrow Z(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sigma / (\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}} \sim N(0,1). \quad (7)$$

- **Problem:** The $Z(\hat{\beta}_1)$ statistic in equation (7) is *not a feasible test statistic* for $\hat{\beta}_1$ because it involves the *unknown parameter* σ , the square root of the unknown error variance σ^2 .

4.3 Derivation of the t-Statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$

□ To obtain a feasible test statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$, we use the **Student's t-distribution**.

□ **General Definition of the t-Distribution**

A random variable with the t-distribution is constructed by dividing

(1) a **standard normal random variable Z**

by

(2) the **square root** of an *independent chi-square random variable V* that has been divided by its degrees of freedom m

The resulting statistic has the **t-distribution with m degrees of freedom**.

Formally:

If (1) $Z \sim N(0,1)$
 (2) $V \sim \chi^2[m]$
 and (3) Z and V are *independent*,

then the random variable

$$t = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{V/m}} \sim t[m]$$

where $t[m]$ denotes the **t-distribution** (or Student's t-distribution) with m **degrees of freedom**.

- ◆ The **numerator of a t-statistic** is simply an $N(0,1)$ variable Z .
- ◆ The **denominator of a t-statistic** is the square root of a chi-square distributed random variable divided by its degrees of freedom.

Result:

A **t-statistic** is simply the ratio of a standard normal variable to the square root of an *independent* degrees-of-freedom-adjusted chi-square variable with m degrees of freedom.

□ **Derivation of the t-Statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$**

- ◆ **Numerator of the t-statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$.** The numerator of the t-statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$ is the $Z(\hat{\beta}_1)$ statistic (7). It will be convenient to re-write the $Z(\hat{\beta}_1)$ statistic in the form

$$Z(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sigma / (\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)(\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1) \quad (8)$$

- ◆ **Denominator of the t-statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$.** Implication (3) of the normality assumption implies that the statistic $\hat{\sigma}^2 / \sigma^2$ has a degrees-of-freedom-adjusted chi-square distribution with $(N - 2)$ degrees of freedom; that is

$$\frac{(N - 2) \hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2[N - 2] \Rightarrow \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \frac{\chi^2[N - 2]}{(N - 2)}. \quad (9)$$

The square root of this statistic is therefore distributed as the square root of a degrees-of-freedom-adjusted chi-square variable with $(N - 2)$ degrees of freedom:

$$\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sigma} \sim \left[\frac{\chi^2[N - 2]}{(N - 2)} \right]^{1/2}. \quad (10)$$

- ◆ **The *t*-statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$.** The *t*-statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$ is therefore the ratio of (8) to (10):
i.e.,

$$t(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{Z(\hat{\beta}_1)}{\hat{\sigma}/\sigma} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)(\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}/\sigma}{\hat{\sigma}/\sigma}. \quad (11)$$

The *t*-statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$ given by (11) can be rewritten without the unknown parameter σ .

- ◆ Since the unknown parameter σ is the divisor of both the numerator and denominator of $t(\hat{\beta}_1)$, multiplication of the numerator and denominator of (11) by σ permits the *t*-statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$ to be written as

$$t(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)(\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}/\sigma}{\hat{\sigma}/\sigma} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)(\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}}{\hat{\sigma}}. \quad (12)$$

- ◆ Dividing the numerator and denominator of (12) by $(\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}$ yields

$$t(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)}{\hat{\sigma}/(\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}}. \quad (13)$$

- ◆ But the **denominator of (13)** is simply the *estimated standard error* of $\hat{\beta}_1$;
i.e.,

$$\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{(\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}} = \sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \text{s}\hat{\text{e}}(\hat{\beta}_1).$$

□ **Result:** The **t-statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$** takes the form

$$t(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\hat{\sigma}/(\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\text{s}\hat{e}(\hat{\beta}_1)}. \quad (14)$$

Note that, unlike the $Z(\hat{\beta}_1)$ statistic in (8), the $t(\hat{\beta}_1)$ statistic in (14) is a **feasible test statistic** for $\hat{\beta}_1$ because it satisfies both the requirements for a feasible test statistic.

(1) First, **its sampling distribution is known**; it has the $t[N - 2]$ distribution, the t-distribution with $(N - 2)$ degrees of freedom:

$$t(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\text{s}\hat{e}(\hat{\beta}_1)} \sim t[N - 2].$$

(2) Second, **its value can be calculated from sample data** for any hypothesized value of β_1 .

□ **Result:** The **t-statistic for $\hat{\beta}_0$** is analogous to that for $\hat{\beta}_1$ and has the same distribution: i.e.,

$$t(\hat{\beta}_0) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0)}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{\text{s}\hat{e}(\hat{\beta}_0)} \sim t[N - 2]$$

where the estimated standard error for $\hat{\beta}_0$ is

$$\text{s}\hat{e}(\hat{\beta}_0) = \sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0)} = \left[\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2 \sum_i X_i^2}{N \sum_i x_i^2} \right]^{1/2}.$$

4.4 Derivation of the F-Statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$

- A second feasible test statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$ can be derived from the normality assumption A9 using the **F-distribution**.
- **General Definition of the F-Distribution**

A random variable with the F-distribution is the **ratio of two independent random variables**:

- (1) one **chi-square distributed random variable V_1 divided by its degrees of freedom m_1**

and

- (2) a second ***independent* chi-square distributed random variable V_2 that also has been divided by its degrees of freedom m_2** .

The resulting statistic has the **F-distribution with m_1 numerator degrees of freedom and m_2 denominator degrees of freedom**.

Formally:

- If
- (1) $V_1 \sim \chi^2[m_1]$
 - (2) $V_2 \sim \chi^2[m_2]$
- and (3) V_1 and V_2 are *independent*,

then the random variable

$$F = \frac{V_1/m_1}{V_2/m_2} \sim F[m_1, m_2]$$

where $F[m_1, m_2]$ denotes the **F-distribution** (or Fisher's F-distribution) **with m_1 numerator degrees of freedom and m_2 denominator degrees of freedom**.

□ **Derivation** of the F-Statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$

- ◆ **Numerator of the F-statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$.** The numerator of the F-statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$ is the square of the $Z(\hat{\beta}_1)$ statistic (7). Recall that **the square of a standard normal $N(0,1)$ random variable has a chi-square distribution with one degree of freedom.** Re-write the $Z(\hat{\beta}_1)$ statistic as in (8) above:

$$Z(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sigma / (\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)(\sum_i x_i^2)^{1/2}}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1). \quad (8)$$

The *square* of the $Z(\hat{\beta}_1)$ statistic is therefore:

$$(Z(\hat{\beta}_1))^2 = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)^2}{(\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_1))^2} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)^2}{\sigma^2 / (\sum_i x_i^2)} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)^2 (\sum_i x_i^2)}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2[1]. \quad (15)$$

- ◆ **Denominator of the F-statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$.** Implication (3) of the normality assumption implies that the statistic $\hat{\sigma}^2 / \sigma^2$ has a degrees-of-freedom-adjusted chi-square distribution with $(N - 2)$ degrees of freedom; that is

$$\frac{(N - 2) \hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2[N - 2] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \frac{\chi^2[N - 2]}{(N - 2)}. \quad (9)$$

- ◆ It is possible to show that the $\chi^2[1]$ -distributed statistic $(Z(\hat{\beta}_1))^2$ in (15) and the $\chi^2[N - 2]$ -distributed statistic $(N - 2)\hat{\sigma}^2 / \sigma^2$ in (9) are **statistically independent**.

- ♦ **The F -statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$.** The F -statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$ is therefore the ratio of (15) to (9):

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(\hat{\beta}_1) &= \frac{(\mathbf{Z}(\hat{\beta}_1))^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2/\sigma^2} \\
 &= \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)^2 (\sum_i x_i^2) / \sigma^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2/\sigma^2} \\
 &= \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)^2 (\sum_i x_i^2)}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \\
 &= \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2 / \sum_i x_i^2} \\
 &= \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)^2}{\text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_1)} \quad \text{since } \hat{\sigma}^2 / \sum_i x_i^2 = \text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_1).
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

- **Result:** The F -statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$ takes the form

$$F(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2 / (\sum_i x_i^2)} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)^2}{\text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_1)} \sim F[1, N - 2]. \tag{17}$$

Note that, like the $t(\hat{\beta}_1)$ statistic in (14), the $F(\hat{\beta}_1)$ statistic in (17) is a **feasible test statistic** for $\hat{\beta}_1$ because it satisfies both the requirements for a feasible test statistic.

- (1) First, **its sampling distribution is known**; it has the **$F[1, N - 2]$ distribution**, the F -distribution with 1 numerator degree of freedom and $(N - 2)$ denominator degrees of freedom:

$$F(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)^2}{\text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_1)} \sim F[1, N - 2].$$

- (2) Second, **its value can be calculated entirely from sample data** for any hypothesized value of β_1 .

- **Result:** The **F-statistic** for $\hat{\beta}_0$ is analogous to that for $\hat{\beta}_1$ and has the same distribution: i.e.,

$$F(\hat{\beta}_0) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0)^2}{\text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_0)} \sim F[1, N - 2]$$

where the estimated variance for $\hat{\beta}_0$ is

$$\text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_0) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2 \sum_i X_i^2}{N \sum_i x_i^2}.$$

- **Relationship Between the t-statistic and the F-statistic for $\hat{\beta}_j, j = 0, 1$:**

- The *F-statistic* for $\hat{\beta}_1$ is the *square* of the *t-statistic* for $\hat{\beta}_1$:

$$F(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)^2}{\text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)^2}{(\text{s}\hat{\text{e}}(\hat{\beta}_1))^2} = \left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\text{s}\hat{\text{e}}(\hat{\beta}_1)} \right)^2 = (t(\hat{\beta}_1))^2.$$

- Similarly, the *F-statistic* for $\hat{\beta}_0$ is the *square* of the *t-statistic* for $\hat{\beta}_0$:

$$F(\hat{\beta}_0) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0)^2}{\text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_0)} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0)^2}{(\text{s}\hat{\text{e}}(\hat{\beta}_0))^2} = \left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{\text{s}\hat{\text{e}}(\hat{\beta}_0)} \right)^2 = (t(\hat{\beta}_0))^2.$$

- The *t-distribution* and the *F-distribution* are also related.

$$F[1, N - 2] = (t[N - 2])^2 \quad \text{or} \quad t[N - 2] = \sqrt{F[1, N - 2]}.$$

That is, the F-distribution with 1 numerator degree of freedom and $N - 2$ denominator degrees of freedom *equals* the square of the t-distribution with $N - 2$ degrees of freedom. Conversely, the t-distribution with $N - 2$ degrees of freedom *equals* the square root of the F-distribution with 1 numerator degree of freedom and $N - 2$ denominator degrees of freedom.

4.5 Important Results: Summary

1. Under the **error normality assumption A9**, the sample statistics $t(\hat{\beta}_1)$ and $t(\hat{\beta}_0)$ have the **t-distribution with $N-2$ degrees of freedom**:

$$t(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)}{\sqrt{\text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\hat{s}\hat{\text{e}}(\hat{\beta}_1)} \sim t[N - 2];$$

$$t(\hat{\beta}_0) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0)}{\sqrt{\text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_0)}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{\hat{s}\hat{\text{e}}(\hat{\beta}_0)} \sim t[N - 2].$$

2. Under the **error normality assumption A9**, the sample statistics $F(\hat{\beta}_1)$ and $F(\hat{\beta}_0)$ have the **F-distribution with 1 numerator degree of freedom and $N - 2$ denominator degrees of freedom**:

$$F(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)^2}{\text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_1)} \sim F[1, N - 2];$$

$$F(\hat{\beta}_0) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0)^2}{\text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_0)} \sim F[1, N - 2].$$

Note that $\hat{s}\hat{\text{e}}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \sqrt{\text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_1)}$ and $\hat{s}\hat{\text{e}}(\hat{\beta}_0) = \sqrt{\text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_0)}$ are the *estimated standard errors*, and $\text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_1)$ and $\text{V}\hat{\text{a}}\text{r}(\hat{\beta}_0)$ are the *estimated variances*, of the OLS coefficient estimators $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_0$, respectively.

3. The **Z-statistics for $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ are not feasible test statistics.**

$$Z(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_1)} \quad \text{and} \quad Z(\hat{\beta}_0) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0)}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_0)}.$$

They require for their computation the **true but unknown variances and standard errors** of the OLS coefficient estimators, and these require that the value of the error variance σ^2 be known.

But since the value of σ^2 is almost always unknown in practice, the values of $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0)$ and $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)$, and of $\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_0)$ and $\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_1)$, are also unknown.

4. The **t-statistics for $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ are feasible test statistics.**

$$t(\hat{\beta}_0) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0)}{\sqrt{\hat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_0)}} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0)}{\hat{\text{se}}(\hat{\beta}_0)} \quad \text{and} \quad t(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)}{\sqrt{\hat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\hat{\text{se}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}.$$

They are obtained by replacing the **unknown variances and standard errors** of the OLS coefficient estimators in the Z-statistics $Z(\hat{\beta}_0)$ and $Z(\hat{\beta}_1)$ with their corresponding **estimated variances** $\hat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_0)$ and $\hat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)$ and **estimated standard errors** $\hat{\text{se}}(\hat{\beta}_0) = \sqrt{\hat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_0)}$ and $\hat{\text{se}}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \sqrt{\hat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}$.

5. The **F-statistics for $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ also are feasible test statistics.**

$$F(\hat{\beta}_0) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0)^2}{\hat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_0)} \quad \text{and} \quad F(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)^2}{\hat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}.$$

The denominators of $F(\hat{\beta}_0)$ and $F(\hat{\beta}_1)$ are the **estimated variances** $\hat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_0)$ and $\hat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)$, **not the true variances** $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0)$ and $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)$.

□ Important General Implication of the Normality Assumption A9

The normality assumption A9 permits us **to derive the *functional form* of the sampling distributions of $\hat{\beta}_0$ (normal), $\hat{\beta}_1$ (normal), and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ (chi-square).**

Knowing the form of the sampling distributions of $\hat{\beta}_0$, $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ enables us **to derive *feasible* test statistics for the OLS coefficient estimators $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$.**

These feasible test statistics for $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ enable us **to conduct *statistical inference*** -- i.e., to derive procedures

(1) for constructing ***confidence intervals*** for β_0 and β_1

and

(2) for performing statistical ***hypothesis tests*** about the values of β_0 and β_1 .

5. Distributions Related to the Normal Distribution: A Review

Three important probability distributions are related to the normal distribution:

- (1) the **chi-square distribution**;
- (2) the **t-distribution**;
- (3) the **F-distribution**.

These three distributions are used extensively in constructing confidence intervals and performing hypothesis tests for the regression coefficients β_0 and β_1 .

5.1 The Chi-Square Distribution

Definition: A random variable constructed as **the sum of squares of m independent standard normal $N(0,1)$ random variables** has the **chi-square distribution with m degrees of freedom**.

Formally:

If Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_m are m **independent $N(0,1)$ random variables** such that

$$Z_i \sim N(0,1) \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

then the random variable

$$V = Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 + \dots + Z_m^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m Z_i^2 \sim \chi^2[m]$$

where $\chi^2[m]$ denotes the chi-square distribution with m degrees of freedom.

Properties of the Chi-Square Distribution: The **degrees of freedom** parameter of the chi-square distribution equals the **number of independent $N(0,1)$ random variables** that are squared and summed to form the chi-square distributed variable V .

- (1) The mean and variance of a chi-square distribution are determined entirely by the value of m , the degrees-of-freedom parameter:

$$E(V) = E(\chi^2[m]) = m;$$

$$\text{Var}(V) = \text{Var}(\chi^2[m]) = 2m.$$

- (2) The value of m also completely determines the shape of the chi-square distribution.

- (3) **Additive (Reproductive) Property of the Chi-Square Distribution:** If V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n are n independent random variables each of which has a chi-square distribution with m_i degrees of freedom such that

$$V_i \sim \chi^2[m_i] \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

then the sum of these random variables $V = V_1 + V_2 + \dots + V_n$ has a chi-square distribution with $k = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_n$ degrees of freedom. That is,

$$V = V_1 + V_2 + \dots + V_n = \sum_{i=1}^n V_i \sim \chi^2[k], \quad k = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i.$$

5.2 The t-Distribution

Definition: A random variable constructed by dividing

(1) a **standard normal random variable Z**

by

(2) the square root of an ***independent* chi-square random variable V** that has been divided by its degrees of freedom m

has the **t-distribution with m degrees of freedom**.

Formally:

If (1) $Z \sim N(0,1)$
 (2) $V \sim \chi^2[m]$
and (3) Z and V are *independent*,

then the random variable

$$t = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{V/m}} \sim t[m]$$

where $t[m]$ denotes the **t-distribution** (or Student's t-distribution) with **m degrees of freedom**.

Properties of the t-Distribution: The **degrees of freedom parameter m** completely determines the shape of the t-distribution.

- (1) The t-distribution has a mean equal to zero and a variance that is determined completely by the value of m :

$$E(t) = E(t[m]) = 0;$$

$$\text{Var}(t) = \text{Var}(t[m]) = \frac{m}{m-2}.$$

- (2) The t-distribution is **symmetric about its mean** of zero.

- (3) The limiting distribution of the $t[m]$ -distribution is the standard normal $N(0,1)$ distribution: that is,

$$\text{As } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad t[m] \rightarrow N(0,1).$$

5.3 The F-Distribution

Definition: A random variable constructed by forming the ratio of two independent chi-square random variables, each of which has been divided by its degrees of freedom, has the F-distribution with specified numerator and denominator degrees of freedom.

Formally:

If (1) $V_1 \sim \chi^2[m_1]$
 (2) $V_2 \sim \chi^2[m_2]$
 and (3) V_1 and V_2 are *independent*,

then the random variable

$$F = \frac{V_1/m_1}{V_2/m_2} \sim F[m_1, m_2]$$

where $F[m_1, m_2]$ denotes the **F-distribution** (or Fisher's F-distribution) with m_1 *numerator degrees of freedom* and m_2 *denominator degrees of freedom*.

Properties of the F-Distribution: The values of the **degrees of freedom parameters m_1 and m_2** determine the shape of the F-distribution. The range of the F-distribution is $0 \leq F < \infty$.

Relationship Between the t-Distribution and the F-Distribution:

The square of a random variable that has the t-distribution with k degrees of freedom equals a random variable that has the F-distribution with $m_1 = 1$ numerator degrees of freedom and $m_2 = k$ denominator degrees of freedom. That is,

$$(t[k])^2 = F[1, k].$$

NOTE: This equality holds *only for F variables that have numerator degrees of freedom equal to 1*.