

introduced in (9.52), as follows:

$$\mathbf{X}_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{Z}_j(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \psi_{ji}.$$

Then the stacked GNR is

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\xi}(\boldsymbol{\beta}))\psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ (\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\xi}(\boldsymbol{\beta}))\psi_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{X}_m(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} + \text{residuals.} \quad (9.58)$$

The OLS estimates from the GNR (9.58) will be defined by the first-order conditions

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{X}_i^\top(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{X}_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \right) \ddot{\mathbf{b}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{X}_i^\top(\boldsymbol{\beta}) (\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\xi}(\boldsymbol{\beta})) \psi_i. \quad (9.59)$$

Some manipulation of (9.59) based on the definition of the  $\mathbf{X}_i$ 's and of  $\boldsymbol{\psi}$  shows that this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma^{ij} \mathbf{Z}_i^\top(\boldsymbol{\beta}) (\mathbf{y}_j - \mathbf{x}_j(\boldsymbol{\beta}) - \mathbf{Z}_j(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (9.60)$$

Thus we see that regression (9.58) has all the properties we have come to expect from the Gauss-Newton regression. If we evaluate it at  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ , the regression will have no explanatory power at all, because (9.60) is satisfied with  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$  by the first-order conditions (9.53). The estimated covariance matrix from regression (9.58) with  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  will be

$$\tilde{s}^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma^{ij} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_i^\top \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_j \right)^{-1}, \quad (9.61)$$

where  $\tilde{s}^2$  is the estimate of the variance that the regression package will generate, which will evidently tend to 1 asymptotically if  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is in fact the contemporaneous covariance matrix of  $\mathbf{U}_t$ . If (9.61) is rewritten as a sum of contributions from the successive observations, the result is

$$\tilde{s}^2 \left( \sum_{t=1}^n \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Xi}}_t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Xi}}_t^\top \right)^{-1},$$

from which it is clear that (9.61) is indeed the proper GNLS covariance matrix estimator.