

ECON 452* -- NOTE 4

Functional Form in the Variables: Linear or Log?

□ The Natural Logarithmic Transformation

- The *natural logarithmic transformation* is defined only for variables that are *strictly positively valued*.

$\ln Y_i$ is defined only if $Y_i > 0$ for all i .

$\ln X_{ij}$ is defined only if $X_{ij} > 0$ for all i .

- **Differentials of $\ln Y_i$ and $\ln X_{ij}$** are the *relative, or proportionate, changes in Y_i and X_{ij}* .

$d \ln Y_i = \frac{d Y_i}{Y_i} =$ the *relative (proportionate) change in Y_i* if $Y_i > 0$ for all i .

$d \ln X_{ij} = \frac{d X_{ij}}{X_{ij}} =$ the *relative (proportionate) change in X_{ij}* if $X_{ij} > 0$ for all i .

- **Percentage changes in Y_i and X_{ij}** are calculated by multiplying the respective relative changes by 100.

$100(d \ln Y_i) = 100 \left(\frac{d Y_i}{Y_i} \right) =$ the percentage change in Y_i if $Y_i > 0$ for all i .

$100(d \ln X_{ij}) = 100 \left(\frac{d X_{ij}}{X_{ij}} \right) =$ the percentage change in X_{ij} if $X_{ij} > 0$ for all i .

- The *elasticity coefficient* of **Y** with respect to **X_j** is defined as follows:

$$\varepsilon_j = \frac{d \ln Y}{d \ln X_j} = \frac{dY/Y}{dX_j/X_j} = \frac{dY}{dX_j} \frac{X_j}{Y} = \text{the } \textit{elasticity of Y wrt to X}_j.$$

□ Four Common Functional Form Specifications of Regression Models

Model 1: the lin-lin (linear-in-levels) model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \cdots + \beta_k X_{ik} + u_i \quad (1)$$

- Both the dependent variable Y and the independent variables X_j enter in linear, or linear-in-levels, form.
- The population values of the slope coefficients β_j ($j = 1, \dots, k$) depend on the units in which both Y and X_j are measured.

Model 2: the log-log (double-log) model

$$\ln Y_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \ln X_{i1} + \alpha_2 \ln X_{i2} + \cdots + \alpha_k \ln X_{ik} + u_i \quad (2)$$

- Both the dependent variable Y and the independent variables X_j enter in natural logarithmic, or log, form.
- The population values of the slope coefficients α_j ($j = 1, \dots, k$) do not depend on the units in which Y and X_j are measured.

Model 3: the log-lin (semi-log) model

$$\ln Y_i = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 X_{i1} + \gamma_2 X_{i2} + \cdots + \gamma_k X_{ik} + u_i \quad (3)$$

- The dependent variable Y enters in log form, but the independent variables X_j enter in linear form.
- The population values of the slope coefficients γ_j ($j = 1, \dots, k$) depend on the units in which the X_j are measured, but do not depend on the units in which Y is measured.

Model 4: the lin-log (inverse semi-log) model

$$Y_i = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \ln X_{i1} + \phi_2 \ln X_{i2} + \cdots + \phi_k \ln X_{ik} + u_i \quad (4)$$

- The dependent variable Y enters in linear form, but the independent variables X_j enter in log form .
- The population values of the slope coefficients ϕ_j ($j = 1, \dots, k$) do not depend on the units in which the X_j are measured, but do depend on the units in which Y is measured.

□ How do these four alternative specifications of the linear regression model differ?

- These four models are all linear-in-parameters, or linear-in-coefficients. They are all *linear* regression models.
- The only difference among these four models is the interpretation of their respective regression coefficients.

□ Model 1: the lin-lin model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \cdots + \beta_k X_{ik} + u_i \quad (1)$$

- The *slope coefficients* β_j ($j = 1, \dots, k$) are interpreted as

$$\beta_j = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial X_{ij}} = \frac{\text{change in } Y_i}{\text{change in } X_{ij}} = \textit{partial slope of Y with respect to } X_j.$$

Note: The value of β_j depends on the units in which both Y_i and X_{ij} are measured.

- The *lin-lin* model is **linear in the variables Y_i and X_{ij}** ($j = 1, \dots, k$):

$$\text{partial slope of } Y \text{ wrt } X_j = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial X_{ij}} = \beta_j = \text{a constant.}$$

- **Example 1:**

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + u_i$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial X_{i1}} = \frac{\text{change in } Y_i}{\text{change in } X_{i1}} = \frac{\Delta Y_i}{\Delta X_{i1}} = \text{the } \textit{partial slope of Y wrt } X_1;$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial X_{i2}} = \frac{\text{change in } Y_i}{\text{change in } X_{i2}} = \frac{\Delta Y_i}{\Delta X_{i2}} = \text{the } \textit{partial slope of Y wrt } X_2.$$

- **Example 2:**

$$\text{price}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{wgt}_i + \beta_2 \text{mpg}_i + u_i$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\partial \text{price}_i}{\partial \text{wgt}_i} = \frac{\text{change in price}_i}{\text{change in wgt}_i} = \frac{\Delta \text{price}_i}{\Delta \text{wgt}_i}$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{\partial \text{price}_i}{\partial \text{mpg}_i} = \frac{\text{change in price}_i}{\text{change in mpg}_i} = \frac{\Delta \text{price}_i}{\Delta \text{mpg}_i}$$

□ Model 2: the log-log model

$$\ln Y_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \ln X_{i1} + \alpha_2 \ln X_{i2} + \cdots + \alpha_k \ln X_{ik} + u_i \quad (2)$$

- The *slope coefficients* α_j ($j = 1, \dots, k$) are interpreted as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j &= \frac{\partial \ln Y_i}{\partial \ln X_{ij}} = \frac{\partial Y_i / Y_i}{\partial X_{ij} / X_{ij}} = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial X_{ij}} \frac{X_{ij}}{Y_i} = \frac{\text{relative change in } Y_i}{\text{relative change in } X_{ij}} = \frac{\% \Delta Y_i}{\% \Delta X_{ij}} \\ &= \text{the } \textit{partial elasticity of } Y \text{ with respect to } X_j. \end{aligned}$$

Note: The value of α_j does not depend on the units in which Y_i and X_{ij} are measured.

- The *log-log model* is *nonlinear in the variables* Y_i and X_{ij} ($j = 1, \dots, k$):

$$\text{partial slope of } Y \text{ wrt } X_j = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial X_{ij}} = \frac{\partial \ln Y_i}{\partial \ln X_{ij}} \frac{Y_i}{X_{ij}} = \alpha_j \frac{Y_i}{X_{ij}} = \text{a variable.}$$

- **Example 1:**

$$\ln Y_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \ln X_{i1} + \alpha_2 \ln X_{i2} + u_i$$

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\partial \ln Y_i}{\partial \ln X_{i1}} = \frac{\Delta Y_i / Y_i}{\Delta X_{i1} / X_{i1}} = \frac{\text{relative change in } Y_i}{\text{relative change in } X_{i1}} = \text{the } \textit{partial elasticity of Y wrt } X_1;$$

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{\partial \ln Y_i}{\partial \ln X_{i2}} = \frac{\Delta Y_i / Y_i}{\Delta X_{i2} / X_{i2}} = \frac{\text{relative change in } Y_i}{\text{relative change in } X_{i2}} = \text{the } \textit{partial elasticity of Y wrt } X_2.$$

- **Example 2:**

$$\ln(\text{price}_i) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \ln(\text{wgt}_i) + \alpha_2 \ln(\text{mpg}_i) + u_i$$

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\partial \ln(\text{price}_i)}{\partial \ln(\text{wgt}_i)} = \frac{\text{relative change in price}_i}{\text{relative change in wgt}_i} = \frac{\% \Delta \text{price}_i}{\% \Delta \text{wgt}_i}$$

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{\partial \ln(\text{price}_i)}{\partial \ln(\text{mpg}_i)} = \frac{\text{relative change in price}_i}{\text{relative change in mpg}_i} = \frac{\% \Delta \text{price}_i}{\% \Delta \text{mpg}_i}$$

□ Model 3: the log-lin model

$$\ln Y_i = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 X_{i1} + \gamma_2 X_{i2} + \cdots + \gamma_k X_{ik} + u_i \quad (3)$$

- The *slope coefficients* γ_j ($j = 1, \dots, k$) are interpreted as

$$\gamma_j = \frac{\partial \ln Y_i}{\partial X_{ij}} = \frac{\partial Y_i / Y_i}{\partial X_{ij}} = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial X_{ij}} \frac{1}{Y_i} = \frac{\text{relative change in } Y_i}{\text{change in } X_{ij}}$$

$$100\gamma_j = \frac{\text{percentage change in } Y_i}{\text{change in } X_{ij}} = \frac{\% \Delta Y_i}{\Delta X_{ij}}$$

= partial semi-elasticity of Y wrt to X_j.

Note: The value of γ_j does depend on the units in which X_{ij} is measured, but does not depend on the units in which Y_i is measured.

- The *log-lin model* is *nonlinear in the variable* Y_i :

$$\text{partial slope of } Y \text{ wrt } X_j = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial X_{ij}} = \frac{\partial \ln Y_i}{\partial X_{ij}} Y_i = \gamma_j Y_i = \text{a variable.}$$

- **Example 1:**

$$\ln Y_i = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 X_{i1} + \gamma_2 X_{i2} + u_i$$

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{\partial \ln Y_i}{\partial X_{i1}} = \frac{\text{relative change in } Y_i}{\text{change in } X_{i1}}; \quad 100\gamma_1 = \frac{\% \Delta Y_i}{\Delta X_{i1}}$$

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{\partial \ln Y_i}{\partial X_{i2}} = \frac{\text{relative change in } Y_i}{\text{change in } X_{i2}}; \quad 100\gamma_2 = \frac{\% \Delta Y_i}{\Delta X_{i2}}$$

- **Example 2:**

$$\ln(\text{price}_i) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \text{wgt}_i + \gamma_2 \text{mpg}_i + u_i$$

$$100\gamma_1 = 100 \frac{\partial \ln(\text{price}_i)}{\partial \text{wgt}_i} = \frac{\text{percentage change in price}_i}{\text{change in wgt}_i} = \frac{\% \Delta \text{price}_i}{\Delta \text{wgt}_i}$$

$$100\gamma_2 = 100 \frac{\partial \ln(\text{price}_i)}{\partial \text{mpg}_i} = \frac{\text{percentage change in price}_i}{\text{change in mpg}_i} = \frac{\% \Delta \text{price}_i}{\Delta \text{mpg}_i}$$

□ Model 4: the lin-log model

$$Y_i = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \ln X_{i1} + \phi_2 \ln X_{i2} + \dots + \phi_k \ln X_{ik} + u_i \quad (4)$$

- The *slope coefficients* ϕ_j ($j = 1, \dots, k$) are interpreted as

$$\phi_j = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial \ln X_{ij}} = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial X_{ij}/X_{ij}} = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial X_{ij}} X_{ij} = \frac{\text{change in } Y_i}{\text{relative change in } X_{ij}}.$$

$$\frac{\phi_j}{100} = \frac{\text{change in } Y_i}{\text{percentage change in } X_{ij}} = \frac{\Delta Y_i}{\% \Delta X_{ij}}.$$

Note: The value of ϕ_j does depend on the units in which Y_i is measured, but does not depend on the units in which X_{ij} is measured.

- The *lin-log model* is *nonlinear in the variables* X_{ij} ($j = 1, \dots, k$):

$$\text{partial slope of } Y \text{ wrt } X_j = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial X_{ij}} = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial \ln X_{ij}} \frac{1}{X_{ij}} = \phi_j \frac{1}{X_{ij}} = \frac{\phi_j}{X_{ij}} = \text{a variable.}$$

- **Example 1:**

$$Y_i = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \ln X_{i1} + \phi_2 \ln X_{i2} + u_i$$

$$\phi_1 = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial \ln X_{i1}} = \frac{\text{change in } Y_i}{\text{relative change in } X_{i1}} = \frac{\Delta Y_i}{\Delta X_{i1}/X_{i1}}$$

$$\phi_2 = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial \ln X_{i2}} = \frac{\text{change in } Y_i}{\text{relative change in } X_{i2}} = \frac{\Delta Y_i}{\Delta X_{i2}/X_{i2}}$$

- **Example 2:**

$$\text{price}_i = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \ln(\text{wgt}_i) + \phi_2 \ln(\text{mpg}_i) + u_i$$

$$\phi_1 = \frac{\partial \text{price}_i}{\partial \ln(\text{wgt}_i)} = \frac{\text{change in price}_i}{\text{relative change in wgt}_i}; \quad \frac{\phi_1}{100} = \frac{\Delta \text{price}_i}{\% \Delta \text{wgt}_i}$$

$$\phi_2 = \frac{\partial \text{price}_i}{\partial \ln(\text{mpg}_i)} = \frac{\text{change in price}_i}{\text{relative change in mpg}_i}; \quad \frac{\phi_2}{100} = \frac{\Delta \text{price}_i}{\% \Delta \text{mpg}_i}$$

□ Examples: Four Models of North American Car Prices

Variables:

price_i = the price of the i-th car (in US dollars);

wgt_i = the weight of the i-th car (in pounds);

mpg_i = the miles per gallon (fuel efficiency) for the i-th car (in miles per gallon).

Example 1: a lin-lin model

$$\text{price}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{wgt}_i + \beta_2 \text{mpg}_i + u_i \quad (1)$$

OLS sample regression function:

```
. regress price wgt mpg
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 74		
Model	186321280	2	93160639.9	F(2, 71) =	14.74	
Residual	448744116	71	6320339.67	Prob > F =	0.0000	
-----				R-squared =	0.2934	
Total	635065396	73	8699525.97	Adj R-squared =	0.2735	
-----				Root MSE =	2514.0	
price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
wgt	1.746559	.6413538	2.72	0.008	.467736	3.025382
mpg	-49.51222	86.15604	-0.57	0.567	-221.3025	122.278
_cons	1946.069	3597.05	0.54	0.590	-5226.244	9118.382

Example 1: a lin-lin model

$$\text{price}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{wgt}_i + \beta_2 \text{mpg}_i + u_i \quad (1)$$

Interpretation of Slope Coefficient Estimates

$\hat{\beta}_1 = 1.747$ = an estimate of the (*partial*) *slope* of *price* with respect to *wgt*.

- An *increase* (decrease) in *wgt* of **1 pound** is associated on average with an *increase* (decrease) in *price* of **1.747 dollars** (per car).

$\hat{\beta}_2 = -49.51$ = an estimate of the (*partial*) *slope* of *price* with respect to *mpg*.

- An *increase* (decrease) in *mpg* (fuel efficiency) of **1 mile per gallon** is associated on average with a *decrease* (increase) in *price* of **49.51 dollars** (per car).

Example 2: a log-log model

$$\ln(\text{price}_i) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \ln(\text{wgt}_i) + \alpha_2 \ln(\text{mpg}_i) + u_i \quad (2)$$

OLS sample regression function:

```
. regress lnprice lnwgt lnmpg
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	3.43231706	2	1.71615853	Number of obs =	74	
Residual	7.79121602	71	.109735437	F(2, 71) =	15.64	
Total	11.2235331	73	.153747029	Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.3058	
				Adj R-squared =	0.2863	
				Root MSE =	.33126	

lnprice	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
lnwgt	.1910324	.2720159	0.70	0.485	-.3513519	.7334167
lnmpg	-.6616411	.2784715	-2.38	0.020	-1.216898	-.1063847
_cons	9.11759	2.91713	3.13	0.003	3.300998	14.93418

Example 2: a log-log model

$$\ln(\text{price}_i) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \ln(\text{wgt}_i) + \alpha_2 \ln(\text{mpg}_i) + u_i \quad (2)$$

Interpretation of Slope Coefficient Estimates

$\hat{\alpha}_1 = \mathbf{0.191}$ = an estimate of the *partial elasticity* of *price* with respect to *wgt*.

- A **1 percent increase** (decrease) in *wgt* is associated on average with a **0.191 percent increase** (decrease) in *price*.
- A **10 percent increase** (decrease) in *wgt* is associated on average with a $10 \times 0.191 = \mathbf{1.91}$ percent increase (decrease) in *price*.

$\hat{\alpha}_2 = \mathbf{-0.662}$ = an estimate of the *partial elasticity* of *price* with respect to *mpg*.

- A **1 percent increase** (decrease) in *mpg* is associated on average with a **0.662 percent decrease** (increase) in *price*.
- A **10 percent increase** (decrease) in *mpg* is associated on average with a $10 \times 0.662 = \mathbf{6.62}$ percent decrease (increase) in *price*.

Example 3: a log-lin model

$$\ln(\text{price}_i) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \text{wgt}_i + \gamma_2 \text{mpg}_i + u_i \quad (3)$$

OLS sample regression function:

```
. regress lnprice wgt mpg
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	3.37488699	2	1.68744349	Number of obs =	74	
Residual	7.84864609	71	.110544311	F(2, 71) =	15.26	
Total	11.2235331	73	.153747029	Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.3007	
				Adj R-squared =	0.2810	
				Root MSE =	.33248	

lnprice	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
wgt	.0002087	.0000848	2.46	0.016	.0000396	.0003778
mpg	-.0106498	.0113942	-0.93	0.353	-.0333692	.0120696
_cons	8.237352	.4757123	17.32	0.000	7.288809	9.185896

Example 3: a log-lin model

$$\ln(\text{price}_i) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \text{wgt}_i + \gamma_2 \text{mpg}_i + u_i \quad (3)$$

Interpretation of Slope Coefficient Estimates

$$\hat{\gamma}_1 = \mathbf{0.0002087}$$

$100\hat{\gamma}_1 = \mathbf{0.02087}$ = an estimate of the *partial semi-elasticity* of *price* wrt *wgt*.

- A **1 pound increase** (decrease) in *wgt* is associated on average with a **0.02087 percent increase** (decrease) in *price*.
- A **100 pound increase** (decrease) in *wgt* is associated on average with a $100 \times 0.02087 = \mathbf{2.087}$ percent **increase** (decrease) in *price*.

$$\hat{\gamma}_2 = \mathbf{-0.01065}$$

$100\hat{\gamma}_2 = \mathbf{-1.065}$ = an estimate of the *partial semi-elasticity* of *price* wrt *mpg*.

- An **increase** (decrease) in *mpg* of **1 mile-per-gallon** is associated on average with a **1.065 percent decrease** (increase) in *price*.
- An **increase** (decrease) in *mpg* of **10 miles-per-gallon** is associated on average with a $10 \times 1.065 = \mathbf{10.65}$ percent **decrease** (increase) in *price*.

Example 4: a lin-log model

$$\text{price}_i = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \ln(\text{wgt}_i) + \phi_2 \ln(\text{mpg}_i) + u_i \quad (4)$$

OLS sample regression function:

```
. regress price lnwgt lnmpg
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	182921988	2	91460994.2	Number of obs =	74	
Residual	452143408	71	6368217.01	F(2, 71) =	14.36	
Total	635065396	73	8699525.97	Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.2880	
				Adj R-squared =	0.2680	
				Root MSE =	2523.5	

price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
lnwgt	1920.301	2072.191	0.93	0.357	-2211.531	6052.132
lnmpg	-4332.042	2121.369	-2.04	0.045	-8561.932	-102.152
_cons	3946.072	22222.42	0.18	0.860	-40364.17	48256.31

Example 4: a lin-log model

$$\text{price}_i = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \ln(\text{wgt}_i) + \phi_2 \ln(\text{mpg}_i) + u_i \quad (4)$$

Interpretation of Slope Coefficient Estimates

$$\hat{\phi}_1 = 1920.3$$

$\hat{\phi}_1/100 = 19.20$ = an estimate of the *partial inverse semi-elasticity* of *price* with respect to *wgt*.

- A **100 percent increase** (decrease) in *wgt* is associated on average with an **increase** (decrease) in *price* of **1920.30 dollars**.
- A **1 percent increase** (decrease) in *wgt* is associated on average with an **increase** (decrease) in *price* of **19.20 dollars**.

$$\hat{\phi}_2 = -4332.0$$

$\hat{\phi}_2/100 = -43.32$ = an estimate of the *partial inverse semi-elasticity* of *price* with respect to *mpg*.

- A **100 percent increase** (decrease) in *mpg* is associated on average with a **decrease** (increase) in *price* of **4332 dollars**.
- A **1 percent increase** (decrease) in *mpg* is associated on average with a **decrease** (increase) in *price* of **43.32 dollars**.