

at $\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ instead of at zero. The right angle formed by $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X})$ is the key feature of least squares. At any other point in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X})$, such as $\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}'$ in the figure, $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}'$ does not form a right angle with $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X})$ and, as a consequence, $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}'\|$ must necessarily be larger than $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|$.

The vector of derivatives of the SSR (1.02) with respect to the elements of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is

$$-2\mathbf{X}^\top(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}),$$

which must equal $\mathbf{0}$ at a minimum. Since we have assumed that the columns of \mathbf{X} are linearly independent, the matrix $\mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{X}$ must have full rank. This, combined with that fact that any matrix of the form $\mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{X}$ is necessarily nonnegative definite, implies that the sum of squared residuals is a strictly convex function of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and must therefore have a unique minimum. Thus $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is uniquely determined by the **normal equations**

$$\mathbf{X}^\top(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (1.03)$$

These normal equations say that the vector $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ must be orthogonal to all of the columns of \mathbf{X} and hence to any vector that lies in the space spanned by those columns. The normal equations (1.03) are thus simply a way of stating algebraically what Figure 1.2 showed geometrically, namely, that $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ must form a right angle with $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X})$.

Since the matrix $\mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{X}$ has full rank, we can always invert it to solve the normal equations for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$. We obtain the standard formula:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{y}. \quad (1.04)$$

Even if \mathbf{X} is not of full rank, the fitted values $\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ are uniquely defined, because $\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is simply the point in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X})$ that is closest to \mathbf{y} . Look again at Figure 1.2 and suppose that \mathbf{X} is an $n \times 2$ matrix, but of rank only one. The geometrical point $\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is still uniquely defined. However, since $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is now a 2-vector and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X})$ is just one-dimensional, the vector $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is not uniquely defined. Thus the requirement that \mathbf{X} have full rank is a purely algebraic requirement that is needed to obtain unique estimates $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$.

If we substitute the right-hand side of (1.04) for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ into $\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{y} \equiv \mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{y}. \quad (1.05)$$

This equation defines the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{P}_X \equiv \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^\top$, which **projects** the vector \mathbf{y} orthogonally onto $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X})$. The matrix \mathbf{P}_X is an example of an **orthogonal projection matrix**. Associated with every linear subspace of E^n are two such matrices, one of which projects any point in E^n onto that subspace, and one of which projects any point in E^n onto its orthogonal complement. The matrix that projects onto $\mathcal{S}^\perp(\mathbf{X})$ is

$$\mathbf{M}_X \equiv \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X \equiv \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^\top,$$