Hence the DLR for the simple Box-Cox model, (14.04) with  $\tau(y_t, \lambda)$  given by the Box-Cox transformation, is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma} u_t(y_t, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{14.33}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma} \mathbf{X}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) & \frac{-(\lambda y_{t}^{\lambda} \log y_{t} - y_{t}^{\lambda} + 1)}{\sigma \lambda^{2}} & \frac{u_{t}(y_{t}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda)}{\sigma^{2}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \log y_{t} & -\frac{1}{\sigma} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{b} \\ a \\ s \end{bmatrix} + \text{residuals},$$

where **b** is a k-vector of coefficients corresponding to  $\beta$ , a and s are scalar coefficients corresponding to  $\lambda$  and  $\sigma$ , and

$$u_t(y_t, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda) \equiv B(y_t, \lambda) - x_t(\boldsymbol{\beta}).$$

If the DLR (14.33) is evaluated at unrestricted ML estimates  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \equiv (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\sigma})$ , all the estimated coefficients will be zero. Since the first-order conditions for  $\sigma$  imply that

$$\hat{\sigma} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \hat{u}_t^2\right)^{1/2},$$

the total sum of squares from the artificial regression will be 2n. Thus the OLS covariance matrix estimate will simply be  $(2n/(2n-k-2))(\hat{R}^{\top}\hat{R})^{-1}$ , where  $\hat{R}$  denotes the matrix of regressors that appears in (14.33), evaluated at the ML estimates. By the fundamental result (14.20), this OLS covariance matrix provides a valid estimate of the asymptotic covariance matrix of the ML estimator  $\hat{\theta}$ .

It is clear from (14.33) that this asymptotic covariance matrix is not block-diagonal between  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and the other parameters. Forming the matrix  $\boldsymbol{R}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{R}$ , dividing by n, and taking probability limits, we see that the  $(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$  block of the information matrix  $\mathfrak{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  is simply

$$\sigma^{-2} \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{plim}} \left( \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} (\boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{X} (\boldsymbol{\beta}) \right), \tag{14.34}$$

as it would be if this were a nonlinear regression model. The  $(\sigma, \sigma)$  element is simply  $2/\sigma^2$ , which again is what it would be if this were a nonlinear regression model. But  $\mathfrak{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  also contains a  $(\lambda, \lambda)$  element, a  $(\lambda, \sigma)$  element, and a  $(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda)$  row and column, all of which are clearly nonzero. For example, the element corresponding to  $\beta_i$  and  $\lambda$  is

$$- \underset{n \to \infty}{\text{plim}} \left( \frac{1}{n\sigma^2 \lambda^2} \sum_{t=1}^n X_{ti}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) (\lambda y_t^{\lambda} \log y_t - y_t^{\lambda} + 1) \right).$$

The  $(\lambda, \lambda)$  and  $(\lambda, \sigma)$  elements can also be obtained in a straightforward fashion and are easily seen to be nonzero.