

The modified version is known as the **centered** R^2 , and we will denote it by R_c^2 . It is defined as

$$R_c^2 \equiv 1 - \frac{\|\mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y}\|^2}{\|\mathbf{M}_\iota \mathbf{y}\|^2}, \quad (1.09)$$

where

$$\mathbf{M}_\iota \equiv \mathbf{I} - \iota(\iota^\top \iota)^{-1} \iota^\top = \mathbf{I} - n^{-1} \iota \iota^\top$$

is the matrix that projects off the space spanned by the constant vector ι , which is simply a vector of n ones. When any vector is multiplied by \mathbf{M}_ι , the result is a vector of deviations from the mean. Thus what the centered R^2 measures is the proportion of the total sum of squares of the regressand *around its mean* that is explained by the regressors.

An alternative expression for R_c^2 is

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{M}_\iota \mathbf{y}\|^2}{\|\mathbf{M}_\iota \mathbf{y}\|^2}, \quad (1.10)$$

but this is equal to (1.09) only if $\mathbf{P}_X \iota = \iota$, which means that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X})$ must include the vector ι (so that either one column of \mathbf{X} must be a constant, or some linear combination of the columns of \mathbf{X} must equal a constant). In this case, the equality must hold, because

$$\mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{M}_\iota \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{M}_X (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_\iota) \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y},$$

the second equality here being a consequence of the fact that \mathbf{M}_X annihilates \mathbf{P}_ι when ι belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X})$. When this is not the case and (1.10) is not valid, there is no guarantee that R_c^2 will be positive. After all, there will be many cases in which a regressand \mathbf{y} is better explained by a constant term than by some set of regressors that does not include a constant term. Clearly, if (1.10) is valid, R_c^2 must lie between 0 and 1, since (1.10) is then simply the uncentered R^2 for a regression of $\mathbf{M}_\iota \mathbf{y}$ on \mathbf{X} .

The use of the centered R^2 when \mathbf{X} does not include a constant term or the equivalent is thus fraught with difficulties. Some programs for statistics and econometrics refuse to print an R^2 at all in this circumstance; others print R_u^2 (without always warning the user that they are doing so); some print R_c^2 , defined as (1.09), which may be either positive or negative; and some print still other quantities, which would be equal to R_c^2 if \mathbf{X} included a constant term but are not when it does not. Users of statistical software, be warned!

Notice that R^2 is an interesting number only because we used the least squares estimator $\hat{\beta}$ to estimate β . If we chose an estimate of β , say $\tilde{\beta}$, in any other way, so that the triangle in Figure 1.3 were no longer a right-angled triangle, we would find that the equivalents of the two definitions of R^2 , (1.09) and (1.10), were not the same:

$$1 - \frac{\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\tilde{\beta}\|^2}{\|\mathbf{y}\|^2} \neq \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\tilde{\beta}\|^2}{\|\mathbf{y}\|^2}.$$