## Solution to Exercise 8.20

\*8.20 The IV variant of the HRGNR (6.90), evaluated at  $\beta = \beta$ , can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\iota} = \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{X}}} \boldsymbol{U}^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{b} + \text{residuals}, \tag{8.90}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\iota}$  is an *n*-vector of which every component equals 1, and  $\boldsymbol{\acute{U}}$  is an  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix with  $t^{\text{th}}$  diagonal element equal to the  $t^{\text{th}}$  element of the vector  $\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\acute{\beta}}$ .

Verify that this artificial regression possesses all the requisite properties for hypothesis testing, namely, that

- The regressand in (8.90) is orthogonal to the regressors when  $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}_{IV}$ ;
- The estimated OLS covariance matrix from (8.90) evaluated at  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{IV}}$  is equal to n/(n-k) times the HCCME  $\widehat{\text{Var}}_{\text{h}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{IV}})$  given by (8.65);
- The HRGNR (8.90) allows one-step estimation: The OLS parameter estimates  $\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}$  from (8.90) are such that  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{IV} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{b}}$ .

The first result is easily shown. When  $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}_{IV}$ , the inner product of the regressand of (8.90) with the matrix of regressors is

$$\boldsymbol{\iota}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X}$$
  
=  $\boldsymbol{\iota}^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X}$   
=  $\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X}.$  (S8.25)

The matrix  $\hat{U}$  is not explicitly transposed, because it is a diagonal matrix. In the last line of (S8.25),  $\hat{u} = y - X\hat{\beta}_{IV}$ . Since the moment conditions (8.28) imply that  $\hat{u}^{\top} P_W X = 0$ , this last line is just a zero vector. Therefore, as required, we have shown that the regressand in (8.90) is orthogonal to the regressors when  $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}_{IV}$ .

The second result is also easily shown. The OLS covariance matrix estimate from (8.90), evaluated at  $\dot{\beta} = \hat{\beta}_{IV}$ , is

$$\frac{n}{n-k} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1}.$$

The first factor here is  $\iota^{\top}\iota/(n-k)$ , which is the OLS estimate of  $\sigma^2$  from regression (8.90). Because the regressand is orthogonal to the regressors, the SSR is precisely  $\iota^{\top}\iota$ . The second factor can be rewritten as

$$\begin{split} \left( \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}}^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left( \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \hat{\boldsymbol{U}} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left( \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1}, \end{split}$$

which is the HCCME  $\widehat{\operatorname{Var}}_{h}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{IV})$  given by (8.65).

Copyright © 2003, Russell Davidson and James G. MacKinnon

For the third result, we need to show that  $\hat{\beta}_{IV} = \hat{\beta} + \hat{b}$ . By the standard formula for the OLS estimator,

$$\acute{\boldsymbol{b}} = \left(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \acute{\boldsymbol{U}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_{\acute{\boldsymbol{U}} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X}} \acute{\boldsymbol{U}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \acute{\boldsymbol{U}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_{\acute{\boldsymbol{U}} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X}} \iota.$$

We have just seen that the first factor here can be rewritten as

$$(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}}\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}}\boldsymbol{\Omega}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}}\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}.$$
 (S8.26)

The second factor is

$$\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{\acute{U}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\acute{U}} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{\acute{U}} \boldsymbol{\acute{U}} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{\acute{U}} \boldsymbol{\iota}$$
  
=  $\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{\acute{\Omega}} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{\acute{u}}.$  (S8.27)

Postmultiplying (S8.26) by (S8.27), we observe that the last factor in (S8.26) is the inverse of the first factor in (S8.27), so that

$$\begin{split} \dot{\boldsymbol{b}} &= (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{u} \\ &= (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{u}. \end{split}$$

It is then easy to see that

$$\begin{split} & \acute{\boldsymbol{b}} = (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X} \acute{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\ &= (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{y} - (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{W}} \boldsymbol{X} \acute{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ &= \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{IV}} - \acute{\boldsymbol{\beta}}. \end{split}$$

This establishes the third result