

## Solution to Exercise 7.13

**\*7.13** Consider testing for first-order serial correlation of the error terms in the regression model

$$\mathbf{y} = \beta \mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{u}, \quad |\beta| < 1, \quad (7.97)$$

where  $\mathbf{y}_1$  is the vector with typical element  $y_{t-1}$ , by use of the statistics  $t_{\text{GNR}}$  and  $t_{\text{SR}}$  defined in (7.51) and (7.52), respectively. Show first that the vector denoted as  $\mathbf{M}_X \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1$  in (7.51) and (7.52) is equal to  $-\tilde{\beta} \mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y}_2$ , where  $\mathbf{y}_2$  is the vector with typical element  $y_{t-2}$ , and  $\tilde{\beta}$  is the OLS estimate of  $\beta$  from (7.97). Then show that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $t_{\text{GNR}}$  tends to the random variable  $\tau \equiv \sigma_u^{-2} \text{plim } n^{-1/2} (\beta \mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{y}_2)^\top \mathbf{u}$ , whereas  $t_{\text{SR}}$  tends to the same random variable times  $\beta$ . Show finally that  $t_{\text{GNR}}$ , but not  $t_{\text{SR}}$ , provides an asymptotically correct test, by showing that the random variable  $\tau$  is asymptotically distributed as  $N(0, 1)$ .

For the model (7.97),  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1 = \mathbf{y}_1 - \tilde{\beta} \mathbf{y}_2$ . Since the projection matrix  $\mathbf{M}_X$  is equal to  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{y}_1 (\mathbf{y}_1^\top \mathbf{y}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{y}_1^\top$  in this case, it annihilates  $\mathbf{y}_1$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbf{M}_X \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1 = \mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{M}_X \tilde{\beta} \mathbf{y}_2 = -\tilde{\beta} \mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y}_2, \quad (S7.16)$$

which is the first result that was to be proved.

The numerator of both statistics is

$$n^{-1/2} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\top \mathbf{M}_X \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1 = -n^{-1/2} \tilde{\beta} \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y}_2, \quad (S7.17)$$

where we have used (S7.16) and the standard result that  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{u}$ . If we substitute  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{y}_1 (\mathbf{y}_1^\top \mathbf{y}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{y}_1^\top$  for  $\mathbf{M}_X$  in (S7.17), the right-hand side becomes

$$-n^{-1/2} \tilde{\beta} (\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{y}_2 - \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{y}_1 (\mathbf{y}_1^\top \mathbf{y}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{y}_1^\top \mathbf{y}_2).$$

Because  $\tilde{\beta}$  and  $(\mathbf{y}_1^\top \mathbf{y}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{y}_1^\top \mathbf{y}_2$  both tend to  $\beta$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the probability limit of this expression is the random variable

$$\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/2} \beta \mathbf{u}^\top (\beta \mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{y}_2). \quad (S7.18)$$

The denominator of  $t_{\text{GNR}}$  is

$$s(n^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1^\top \mathbf{M}_X \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1)^{1/2}.$$

The first factor evidently tends to  $\sigma_u$  under the null hypothesis, since  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1$  has no explanatory power for  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ , asymptotically. The second factor is the square root of

$$n^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1^\top \mathbf{M}_X \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1 = n^{-1} \tilde{\beta}^2 \mathbf{y}_2^\top \mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y}_2,$$

where the equality follows from (S7.16). By essentially the same argument as the one that led to (S7.18), this is asymptotically equal to

$$n^{-1}\beta^2\mathbf{y}_2^\top\mathbf{y}_2 - n^{-1}\beta^4\mathbf{y}_1^\top\mathbf{y}_1. \quad (\text{S7.19})$$

Using the fact that  $y_t$  has variance  $\sigma_u^2/(1-\beta^2)$ , it is easy to see that the limit in probability of both  $n^{-1}\mathbf{y}_1^\top\mathbf{y}_1$  and  $n^{-1}\mathbf{y}_2^\top\mathbf{y}_2$  is  $\sigma_u^2/(1-\beta^2)$ . Therefore, expression (S7.19) is asymptotically equal to

$$\frac{\sigma_u^2}{1-\beta^2}(\beta^2 - \beta^4) = \frac{\sigma_u^2\beta^2(1-\beta^2)}{1-\beta^2} = \beta^2\sigma_u^2. \quad (\text{S7.20})$$

Thus the denominator of  $t_{\text{GNR}}$  is asymptotically equal to  $\sigma_u$  times the square root of (S7.20), or  $\beta\sigma_u^2$ . Transposing (S7.18), which is of course a scalar, and dividing it by this denominator yields the desired result that

$$\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{\text{GNR}} \equiv \tau = \sigma_u^{-2} \text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/2}(\beta\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{y}_2)^\top \mathbf{u}. \quad (\text{S7.21})$$

The analysis for  $t_{\text{SR}}$  is similar to, but simpler than, the one for  $t_{\text{GNR}}$ . Both statistics have the same numerator, which is asymptotically equal to (S7.18). The first factor in the denominator of  $t_{\text{SR}}$  is  $\acute{s}$ , which evidently tends to  $\sigma_u$  under the null hypothesis, and the second factor is  $(n^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1^\top\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1)^{1/2}$ . Since  $n^{-1}$  times the sum of squares of the residuals lagged once must be asymptotically the same as  $n^{-1}$  times the sum of squared residuals, this second factor clearly has a probability limit of

$$\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n^{-1}\mathbf{u}^\top\mathbf{M}_X\mathbf{u})^{1/2} = \sigma_u$$

under the null hypothesis. Therefore, the denominator of  $t_{\text{SR}}$  is asymptotically equal to  $1/\beta$  times the denominator of  $t_{\text{GNR}}$ , and we find that

$$\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{\text{SR}} = \sigma_u^{-2} \text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/2}\beta(\beta\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{y}_2)^\top \mathbf{u},$$

which was the result to be proved.

In order to show that  $t_{\text{GNR}}$  is asymptotically distributed as  $N(0, 1)$  under the null hypothesis, we must show that  $\tau$  has mean 0 and variance 1 and that it is asymptotically normally distributed. That it has mean 0 follows immediately from the fact that, under the null hypothesis,  $u_t$  is uncorrelated with  $y_{t-1}$  and  $y_{t-2}$ . That it is asymptotically normally distributed follows from the fact that we can apply a central limit theorem to both of the quantities

$$n^{-1/2} \sum_{t=2}^n \beta u_t y_{t-1} \quad \text{and} \quad n^{-1/2} \sum_{t=3}^n u_t y_{t-2}, \quad (\text{S7.22})$$

since the difference between these quantities, when divided by  $\sigma_u^2$ , is asymptotically equal to  $\tau$ .

It remains to show that the variance of  $\tau$  is 1. Since the factors of  $n^{-1/2}$  offset the fact that both terms in (S7.22) are summations, it is clear that the variance of  $\tau$  is  $1/\sigma_u^4$  times

$$\text{Var}(\beta u_t y_{t-1} - u_t y_{t-2}) = \text{E}(\beta^2 u_t^2 y_{t-1}^2 - 2\beta u_t^2 y_{t-1} y_{t-2} + u_t^2 y_{t-2}^2). \quad (\text{S7.23})$$

Because  $u_t$  is independent of  $y_{t-1}$ ,

$$\text{E}(u_t^2 y_{t-1}^2) = \sigma_u^2 \text{E}(y_{t-1}^2) = \sigma_u^2 \frac{\sigma_u^2}{1 - \beta^2} = \frac{\sigma_u^4}{1 - \beta^2}.$$

Similarly,  $\text{E}(u_t^2 y_{t-2}^2) = \sigma_u^4/(1 - \beta^2)$ , and

$$\text{E}(u_t^2 y_{t-1} y_{t-2}) = \sigma_u^2 \text{E}(y_{t-1} y_{t-2}) = \sigma_u^2 \frac{\beta \sigma_u^2}{1 - \beta^2} = \frac{\beta \sigma_u^4}{1 - \beta^2}.$$

Thus we find that (S7.23) equals

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta^2 \sigma_u^4}{1 - \beta^2} - 2 \frac{\beta^2 \sigma_u^4}{1 - \beta^2} + \frac{\sigma_u^4}{1 - \beta^2} &= \frac{\sigma_u^4}{1 - \beta^2} - \frac{\beta^2 \sigma_u^4}{1 - \beta^2} \\ &= \frac{(1 - \beta^2) \sigma_u^4}{1 - \beta^2} = \sigma_u^4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the variance of  $\tau$  itself is just 1, and we conclude that  $\tau \sim \text{N}(0, 1)$ .

Because  $t_{\text{SR}}$  is asymptotically equal to  $\beta$  times  $t_{\text{GNR}}$ , it must be asymptotically distributed as  $\text{N}(0, \beta^2)$ . Since  $|\beta| < 1$ , the asymptotic variance of  $t_{\text{SR}}$  is always less than that of  $t_{\text{GNR}}$ .