

Solution to Exercise 4.8

***4.8** Consider the linear regression model $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{u}$, where there are n observations and k regressors. Suppose that this model is potentially subject to r restrictions which can be written as $\mathbf{R}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{r}$, where \mathbf{R} is an $r \times k$ matrix and \mathbf{r} is an r -vector. Rewrite the model so that the restrictions become r zero restrictions.

Since there are (in general) fewer restrictions than there are parameters, we must partition \mathbf{R} and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ so that we can solve for some of the parameters in terms of the others. We therefore begin by rearranging the columns of \mathbf{X} so that the restrictions can be written as

$$\mathbf{R}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{R}_2\boldsymbol{\beta}_2 = \mathbf{r}, \quad (\text{S4.13})$$

where $\mathbf{R} \equiv [\mathbf{R}_1 \ \mathbf{R}_2]$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta} \equiv [\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 \ ; \ \boldsymbol{\beta}_2]$, \mathbf{R}_1 being an $r \times (k - r)$ matrix and \mathbf{R}_2 being a nonsingular $r \times r$ matrix. It must be possible to do this if the restrictions are in fact distinct. We also partition \mathbf{X} as $[\mathbf{X}_1 \ \mathbf{X}_2]$, conformably with the partition of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Solving equations (S4.13) for $\boldsymbol{\beta}_2$ yields

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_2 = \mathbf{R}_2^{-1}\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_2^{-1}\mathbf{R}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}_1.$$

Thus the original regression, with the restrictions imposed, can be written as

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2(\mathbf{R}_2^{-1}\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_2^{-1}\mathbf{R}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}_1) + \mathbf{u},$$

This is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{R}_2^{-1}\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{X}_1 - \mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{R}_2^{-1}\mathbf{R}_1)\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{u}. \quad (\text{S4.14})$$

This is a restricted version of the original regression. To obtain a regression equivalent to the original, we have to add back in r regressors that, together with $\mathbf{Z}_1 \equiv \mathbf{X}_1 - \mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{R}_2^{-1}\mathbf{R}_1$, span the same space as \mathbf{X} . Although there is an infinite number of ways to do this, the easiest way is to use the r columns of \mathbf{X}_2 as the additional regressors. To see that this works, note that, for arbitrary $\boldsymbol{\beta}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_2$,

$$\mathbf{X}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2\boldsymbol{\beta}_2 = \mathbf{Z}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2(\boldsymbol{\beta}_2 + \mathbf{R}_2^{-1}\mathbf{R}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}_1),$$

from which it follows that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)$. Thus the regression

$$\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{R}_2^{-1}\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{Z}_1\boldsymbol{\gamma}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2\boldsymbol{\gamma}_2 + \mathbf{u}. \quad (\text{S4.15})$$

is equivalent to the original regression, with $\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_1$. In addition, the restrictions that $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ in (S4.15) are equivalent to the restrictions that $\mathbf{R}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{r}$ in the original model.