

## Solution to Exercise 14.18

\*14.18 Let the  $p \times p$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  have  $q$  distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q$ , where  $q \leq p$ . Let the  $p$ -vectors  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, q$ , be corresponding eigenvectors, so that  $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\xi}_i = \lambda_i\boldsymbol{\xi}_i$ . Prove that the  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i$  are linearly independent.

Suppose the contrary, so that there exist nonzero scalars  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, q$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^q \alpha_i \boldsymbol{\xi}_i = \mathbf{0}$ . We can suppose without loss of generality that *all* of the  $\alpha_i$  are nonzero, since, if not, we can redefine the problem with a smaller value of  $q$ . We can also suppose that  $q > 1$ , since otherwise we would just have an eigenvector equal to zero, contrary to the definition of an eigenvector. Let  $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$  be the  $p \times q$  matrix of which the columns are the  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i$ , and let  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  be a  $q$ -vector with typical element  $\alpha_i$ . Then we have that  $\boldsymbol{\Xi}\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$ .

If we premultiply this relation by  $\mathbf{A}$ , we obtain

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Xi}\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{\Xi}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \quad (\text{S14.18})$$

where the  $q \times q$  matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \equiv \text{diag}\{\lambda_i\}$ . Clearly,  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  is a  $q$ -vector with typical element  $\lambda_i\alpha_i$ , and so (S14.18) shows that there is a second linear combination of the  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i$  equal to zero. Since the  $\lambda_i$  are distinct,  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  is not parallel to  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ , and so this second linear combination is linearly independent of the first. If we premultiply (S14.18) once more by  $\mathbf{A}$ , we see that  $\boldsymbol{\Xi}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^2\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$ , and, repeating the operation, we see that  $\boldsymbol{\Xi}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^i\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$ .

The  $q$  relations of linear dependence can thus be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\Xi} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_1\lambda_1 & \dots & \alpha_1\lambda_1^{q-1} \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_2\lambda_2 & \dots & \alpha_2\lambda_2^{q-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_q & \alpha_q\lambda_q & \dots & \alpha_q\lambda_q^{q-1} \end{bmatrix} \equiv \boldsymbol{\Xi}\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}.$$

We will show in a moment that the matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  must be nonsingular. But this implies that  $\boldsymbol{\Xi} = \mathbf{0}$ , which is false because the columns of the matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$  are the nonzero eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Thus the  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i$  are not linearly dependent, as we wished to show.

Note that  $\mathbf{B}$  is the product of the  $q \times q$  diagonal matrix with typical diagonal element  $\alpha_i$  and the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_1^{q-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_q & \dots & \lambda_q^{q-1} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{S14.19})$$

Thus the determinant of  $\mathbf{B}$  is  $\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_q$ , the determinant of the diagonal matrix, times the determinant of (S14.19). Since the  $\alpha_i$  are all nonzero, the

determinant of  $\mathbf{B}$  vanishes if and only if the determinant of (S14.19) vanishes, that is, if and only if (S14.19) is singular. Suppose that this is the case. Then there exists a  $q$ -vector  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ , with typical element  $\gamma_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, q - 1$ , such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_1^{q-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_q & \dots & \lambda_q^{q-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_0 \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{q-1} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (\text{S14.20})$$

This matrix equation can be written as

$$\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \lambda_i + \dots + \gamma_{q-1} \lambda_i^{q-1} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, q.$$

This implies that the polynomial equation

$$\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 z + \dots + \gamma_{q-1} z^{q-1} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, q,$$

of degree  $q - 1$ , has  $q$  distinct roots,  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q$ . But a polynomial equation of degree  $q - 1$  can have at most  $q - 1$  distinct roots, by the fundamental theorem of algebra. Thus equation (S14.20) cannot be true. From this we conclude that the matrix (S14.19) is nonsingular, as is  $\mathbf{B}$ , and the result is proved.