

Solution to Exercise 10.14

***10.14** Consider the Wald statistic W , the likelihood ratio statistic LR , and the Lagrange multiplier statistic LM for testing the hypothesis that $\beta_2 = \mathbf{0}$ in the linear regression model (10.106). Since these are asymptotic tests, all the estimates of σ^2 are computed using the sample size n in the denominator. Express these three statistics as functions of the squared norms of the three components of the threefold decomposition (4.37) of the dependent variable \mathbf{y} . By use of the inequalities

$$x > \log(1+x) > \frac{x}{1+x}, \quad x > 0,$$

show that $W > LR > LM$.

The threefold decomposition (4.37) is

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{P}_1 \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{P}_{M_1 \mathbf{X}_2} \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y}. \quad (4.37)$$

This tells us that the variation in \mathbf{y} can be divided into three orthogonal parts. The first term, $\mathbf{P}_1 \mathbf{y}$, is the part that is explained by \mathbf{X}_1 alone. The second term, $\mathbf{P}_{M_1 \mathbf{X}_2} \mathbf{y}$, is the additional part that is explained by adding \mathbf{X}_2 to the regression. The final term, $\mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y}$, is the part that is not explained by the regressors.

The Wald statistic was given in the solution to Exercise 10.13 as

$$W = \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 (\mathbf{X}_2^\top \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{X}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_2^\top \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{y}, \quad (\text{S10.27})$$

which can be rewritten as

$$W = n \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{M_1 \mathbf{X}_2} \mathbf{y}\|^2}{\|\mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y}\|^2}.$$

The LM statistic is given by expression (10.74). Since $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\tilde{\beta} = \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{y}$ in this case, we have

$$LM = n \frac{\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{y}}.$$

From equation (4.37), we see that $\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{P}_{M_1 \mathbf{X}_2} \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y}$, and so the denominator of LM is $\|\mathbf{P}_{M_1 \mathbf{X}_2} \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y}\|^2$. Equation (4.37) also implies that $\mathbf{P}_X = \mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_{M_1 \mathbf{X}_2}$, from which we see that $\mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{M}_1 = \mathbf{P}_{M_1 \mathbf{X}_2}$. Thus the numerator of LM is $\|\mathbf{P}_{M_1 \mathbf{X}_2} \mathbf{y}\|^2$, and so

$$LM = n \frac{\|\mathbf{P}_{M_1 \mathbf{X}_2} \mathbf{y}\|^2}{\|\mathbf{P}_{M_1 \mathbf{X}_2} \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y}\|^2}. \quad (\text{S10.30})$$

It follows readily from this that

$$\text{LM}/n = \frac{W/n}{1 + W/n}. \quad (\text{S10.31})$$

Now consider the LR statistic. Equation (10.12) gives the maximized value of the concentrated loglikelihood function for a linear regression model. For the unrestricted model, this is

$$-\frac{n}{2}(1 + \log 2\pi - \log n) - \frac{n}{2} \log \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y},$$

and for the restricted model it is

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{n}{2}(1 + \log 2\pi - \log n) - \frac{n}{2} \log \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{y} \\ & = -\frac{n}{2}(1 + \log 2\pi - \log n) - \frac{n}{2} \log(\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{P}_{M_1 X_2} \mathbf{y}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LR} & = -n \log \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y} + n \log(\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{P}_{M_1 X_2} \mathbf{y}) \\ & = n \log \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{P}_{M_1 X_2} \mathbf{y}\|^2}{\|\mathbf{M}_X \mathbf{y}\|^2} \right) \\ & = n \log(1 + W/n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\text{LR}/n = \log(1 + W/n). \quad (\text{S10.32})$$

The desired inequality now follows directly from (S10.31) and (S10.32). Since the inequalities stated in the question imply that

$$W/n > \log(1 + W/n) > \frac{W/n}{1 + W/n},$$

we have shown that $W > \text{LR} > \text{LM}$.