a static regression model with AR(1) errors; and when  $\beta_1 = 1$  and  $\gamma_1 = -\gamma_0$ , we have a model in first differences that can be written as

$$\Delta y_t = \beta_0 + \gamma_0 \Delta x_t + u_t.$$

Before we accept any of these special cases, it makes sense to test them against (13.59). With one important exception, this can be done by means of asymptotic t or F tests, which it may be wise to bootstrap when the sample size is not large. The exception, which will be discussed in Chapter 14, is testing the restriction that  $\beta_1 = 1$ .

It is usually desirable to impose the condition that  $|\beta_1| < 1$  in (13.59). Strictly speaking, this is not a stationarity condition, since we cannot expect  $y_t$  to be stationary without imposing further conditions on the explanatory variable  $x_t$ . However, it is easy to see that, if this condition is violated, the dependent variable  $y_t$  exhibits explosive behavior. If the condition is satisfied, there may exist a long-run equilibrium relationship between  $y_t$  and  $x_t$ , which can be used to develop a particularly interesting reparametrization of (13.59).

Suppose there exists an equilibrium value  $x^{\circ}$  to which  $x_t$  would converge as  $t \to \infty$  in the absence of shocks. Then, in the absence of the error terms  $u_t$ ,  $y_t$  would converge to a steady-state long-run equilibrium value  $y^{\circ}$  such that

$$y^{\circ} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 y^{\circ} + (\gamma_0 + \gamma_1) x^{\circ}$$

Solving this equation for  $y^{\circ}$  as a function of  $x^{\circ}$  yields

$$y^{\circ} = \frac{\beta_0}{1 - \beta_1} + \frac{\gamma_0 + \gamma_1}{1 - \beta_1} x^{\circ}$$
  
$$= \frac{\beta_0}{1 - \beta_1} + \lambda x^{\circ},$$
 (13.60)

where

$$\lambda \equiv \frac{\gamma_0 + \gamma_1}{1 - \beta_1}.\tag{13.61}$$

This is the long-run derivative of  $y^{\circ}$  with respect to  $x^{\circ}$ , and it is an elasticity if both series are in logarithms. An estimate of  $\lambda$  can be computed directly from the estimates of the parameters of (13.59). Note that the result (13.60) and the definition (13.61) make sense only if the condition  $|\beta_1| < 1$  is satisfied. Because it is so general, the ADL(p, q) model is a good place to start when attempting to specify a dynamic regression model. In many cases, setting p = q = 1 is sufficiently general, but with quarterly data it may be wise to start with p = q = 4. Of course, we very often want to impose restrictions on such a model. Depending on how we write the model, different restrictions may naturally suggest themselves. These can be tested in the usual way by means of asymptotic F and t tests, which may be bootstrapped to improve their finite-sample properties.